Malaria is a major public health problem and has globally caused 445,000 deaths in the year 2016. In addition, during the same reporting period, an estimated 216 million cases of malaria occurred worldwide (95% confidence interval [CI]: 196–263 million)\(^1\). Argaw et al. (2016) in their retrospective descriptive study, published in ‘Malaria Journal’ presented the four-year (2012-2015) achievements in 110 public-private mix (PPM) malaria care facilities located in five regional states and one city administration in Ethiopia\(^2\). The authors explored how the stepwise intervention benefited over 873,707 malaria-suspected patients. Out of these, one fourth (25.6%), were diagnosed and treated for malaria infections. The program demonstrated significant improvements in shifting from presumed diagnosis to parasitological confirmation of malaria-suspected patients before resuming their treatments. In addition, adherence of private health sector providers to appropriate species-specific treatment was significantly improved from 47% to 98% in 2012 and 2015, respectively. Hence, scale-up of the tested approach was recommended, i.e. the formal public-private mix for malaria care services by the government and development partners\(^2\). Nevertheless, it is important to highlight that the high rate of adherence to the national diagnosis and treatment standards and registered performance improvements are likely to be set back if there is an interruption of basic anti-malaria supplies and a lack of consistent and reliable partnership with public sector\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^5\).

To highlight the importance of providing continuous supportive supervision, and engaging the private providers, we searched and reviewed relevant gray and published literature\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^8\). The result of these studies confers that most malaria programs lack understanding of the composition and contribution of the private health sector in malaria diagnosis and treatment.

After an evaluation of uncomplicated malaria case management at the outpatient facilities of the private sector in West Gojam zone of the Amhara region, it was revealed that private providers’ adherence to the national malaria diagnosis and treatment standards was poor. Some patients were over treated with antimalarial medication and broad-spectrum antibiotics like Tetracycline, Metronidazole, and Clarithromycin. Other patients were treated against the global
and national recommendations using monotherapies (i.e. Arthem or Artesunate injections). Moreover, the quality of services was compromised due to health providers’ preferences of one anti-malaria drug over the another, and injectables over tablets.4,5

Despite this, there is evidence of successful, cost-effective, affordable, equitable, quality assured, and accessible health program services in Ethiopia9-12. In addition, there are several global experiences indicating that public-private partnership is a widely acknowledged approach for the health sector to tap into and for the optimal use of available resources for health which include: Finance, Infrastructure, Human Resources, Pharmaceutical products and Health technology etc.13-15.

The Federal Ministry of Health has documented the presence of at least three approaches of public-private partnership in the health sector. The approaches in place are social franchising, concessions and joint venture. To confirm the stewardship commitment, the ministry has developed the public-private partnership directives13. However, the document lacks details of implementation guidance. Furthermore, according to the malaria strategy plan (2017-2020), the country intends to eliminate malaria, at least from historically low malaria transmission areas16. During the implementation of this strategic plan, collaboration among the public sector, private sector, and the community, for surveillance, standardizing services and prevention and control of counterfeit drug circulation is needed.

Argaw et al. (2016) recommend the scaling-up of public-private mix for malaria care services. However, it was not clearly described through working guidelines. Therefore, we recommend that the public health sector, the private health sector and the community work together towards developing clear working guidelines. The guidelines should be developed based on the components of the survey list of ‘The Practice Oriented Theory’ by Dickoff, James and Wiedenbach17. The components of the survey list as suggested by Dickoff et al. are the agent, the recipient, the context, terminus, dynamics, and procedure. Figure 1 describes the details of the relationships between three major elements; partnership, environment, and management.8 The agents are the private providers, and the recipients are the community and the public sector. Finally, assigning the roles and responsibilities for all actors is recommended.

**Acknowledgements**

MDA BFD and WSA receive salary support from JSI Research and Training Institute Inc. We also thank colleagues who reviewed, proofread the manuscript and provided us with helpful suggestions on the manuscript.
References


